

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/302,863	04/30/1999	RAYMOND G. GOODWIN	2519	7568
22932	7590 05/03/2004		EXAM	INER
IMMUNEX CORPORATION			ROMEO, DAVID S	
LAW DEPAR' 1201 AMGEN	TMENT COURT WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98119			1647	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/03/2004	I

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/302,863	GOODWIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David S Romeo	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Ab	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0.9.</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) □ 1 3) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) 29,30 and 32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-28,31 and 33-39 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Tr) The bath of declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action of form F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International But * See the extrapled detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	iist of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	🗖	(DTC 112)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date

Application/Control Number: 09/302,863

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claims 15-39 are pending and being examined.

New Formal Matters, Objections, and/or Rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 15-28, 31, 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method screening a test compound comprising forming a composition comprising, with reference to claim 15, (i)(a)-(b) and (ii)(a)-(c), does not reasonably provide enablement for a method screening a test compound comprising forming a composition comprising, with reference to claim 15, (i)(c)-(d) and (ii)(d)-(e). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Although the claims require that 15(i)(c)-(d) bind SEQ ID NO: 4 and that 15(ii)(d)-(e) bind SEQ ID NO: 2, the claims do not require that any of 15(i)(c)-(d) bind any of 15(ii)(d)-(e). The claims are further directed to or encompass a method of screening comprising forming a composition comprising a polypeptide encoded by a

Application/Control Number: 09/302,863

Art Unit: 1647

nucleic acid molecule that is at least 90% identical SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein said polypeptide binds SEQ ID NO: 4, and a polypeptide encoded by a nucleic acid molecule that is at least 90% identical SEQ ID NO: 3, wherein said polypeptide binds SEQ ID NO: 2. Accordingly, the claims are directed to testing the interaction of a first genus of 5 polypeptides encoded by nucleic acid molecules at least 90% identical SEQ ID NO: 1, wherein said first genus polypeptides binds SEQ ID NO: 4, with a second genus of polypeptides encoded by nucleic acid molecules at least 90% identical SEQ ID NO: 3, wherein said second genus polypeptides binds SEQ ID NO: 2. However, the claims do not require that the first genus bind anything in the second genus except SEQ ID NO: 4 and the claims do not require that the second genus bind anything in the first genus 10 except SEQ ID NO: 2. It is noted that a given per cent identity at the nucleotide level translate into a much lower level of sequence identity at the amino acid level. The first and second genus encompass deletions, insertions, substitutions, additions, and frameshifts to the encoded amino acid sequences. The problem of predicting protein 15 structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are generally possible in any given protein the positions within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions 20 directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These or other regions may also be critical determinants of antigenicity. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative

Application/Control Number: 09/302,863

Art Unit: 1647

5

10

15

20

substitutions or no substitutions (see Wells, 1990, Biochemistry 29:8509-8517; Ngo et al., 1994, The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495). However, Applicant has provided little or no guidance beyond the mere presentation of sequence data to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, the positions in the protein which are tolerant to change (e.g. such as by amino acid substitutions or deletions), and the nature and extent of changes that can be made in these positions. The art recognizes that function cannot be predicted from structure alone. Predicting structure, hence function, from primary amino acid sequence data is extremely complex and there doesn't exist an efficient algorithm for predicting the structure of a given protein from its amino acid sequence alone. See Bowie (U) page 1306, column 1, full paragraph 1, and Ngo (V) page 433, full paragraph 1, and page 492, full paragraph 2. Due to the quantity of experimentation necessary to generate the large number of derivatives encompassed by the claims and screen same for activity or interactions, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding which structural features are required in order to provide activity, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art which establishes the unpredictability of the effects of mutation on protein structure and function, and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite any structural or functional limitations, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not responsive to the present rejection.

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 15-28, 31, 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Although the claims require that 15(i)(c)-(d) bind SEQ ID NO: 4 and that 15(ii)(d)-(e) bind SEQ ID NO: 2, the claims do not require that any of 15(i)(c)-(d) bind any of 15(ii)(d)-(e), except for SEQ ID NO: 4, or that any of 15(ii)(d)-(e) bind any of 15(i)(c)-(d), except for SEQ ID NO: 2. Insofar as the claimed method is directed to a method of screening for compounds that affect an interaction, and to the extent that the claims do not require an interaction to take place, then the metes and bounds are not clearly set forth.

10

5

Conclusion

Claims 29, 30, and 32 are allowable.

The claims are allowable over the prior art of record because obviousness cannot be predicated on what is not known at the time an invention is made, even if the inherency of a certain feature is alter established.

ANY INQUIRY CONCERNING THIS COMMUNICATION OR EARLIER COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE EXAMINER SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO DAVID S. ROMEO WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (571) 272-0890. THE EXAMINER CAN NORMALLY BE REACHED ON MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY FROM 7:30 A.M. TO 4:00 P.M. IF ATTEMPTS TO REACH THE EXAMINER BY TELEPHONE ARE UNSUCCESSFUL, THE EXAMINER'S SUPERVISOR, GARY KUNZ, CAN BE REACHED ON (571) 272-0887.

20

15

IF SUBMITTING OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE BY FAX, APPLICANTS ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUBMIT OFFICIAL CORRESPONDENCE TO THE FOLLOWING TC 1600 BEFORE AND AFTER FINAL RIGHTFAX NUMBERS:

BEFORE FINAL

(703) 872-9306

AFTER FINAL

(703) 872-9307

25

CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO ADVISED TO USE CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE PROCEDURES WHEN SUBMITTING A REPLY TO A NON-FINAL OR FINAL OFFICE ACTION BY FACSIMILE (SEE 37 CFR 1.6 AND 1.8).

FAXED DRAFT OR INFORMAL COMMUNICATIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE EXAMINER AT (571) 273-0890.

ANY INQUIRY OF A GENERAL NATURE OR RELATING TO THE STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION OR PROCEEDING SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO THE GROUP RECEPTIONIST WHOSE TELEPHONE NUMBER IS (703) 308-0196.

30

DAVID ROMEO

35

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1647

DSR